arXiv:1703.05755v1 [q-bio.PE] 16 Mar 2017

Slow waves of seed propagation induced by delayed dispersion

Laila D. Kazimierski[a], Marcelo N. Kuperman[a], Horacio S. Wio[b,c], Guillermo Abramson[a]

[a] *Centro Atómico Bariloche, CONICET and Instituto Balseiro, R8402AGP San Carlos de Bariloche, Argentina*
[b] *Instituto de Física de Cantabria (UC&CSIC), Avda. de los Castros, s/n, E-39005 Santander, Spain*
[c] *Instituto de Física Interdisciplinar y Sistemas Complejos (UIB&CSIC), Campus Universitat de les Illes Balears, E-07122 Palma de Mallorca, Spain*

Abstract

We study a model of seed dispersal that consists of an animal moving diffusively, feeding on fruits and dispersing the seeds, which are later deposited and capable of germination. The dynamics depends on several population parameters of growth, decay, harvesting, transport, digestion and germination. In particular, the deposition of transported seeds at places away from their collection sites produces a delay in the dynamics, whose effects are the focus of this work. Analytical and numerical solutions of different simplified scenarios show the existence of travelling waves. The velocity of these waves depends on the delay, and we show that they are slower than the corresponding Fisher equations of logistic growth with diffusion.

Keywords: seed dispersal, plant-animal interaction, travelling waves

1. Introduction

Spatially distributted processes have been recognized as essential mechanisms in defining the structure and dynamics of populations. One of the most relevant processes governing the emergence of spatial patterns in plant populations is seed dispersal. Then, it is not surprising the promotion of continous efforts aiming at obtaining more accurate and thorough studies and models to unveil the details of seed dispersal processes. Seed dispersal is very relevant to the generation of new plants, and consequently in the persistence and spread of vegetation in land ecosystems. It has a major influence on plant fitness because it determines the locations in which seeds, and subsequently seedlings, live or die (Wenny, 2001). As such, it plays a major role in plant evolution, the rates of gene flow and genetic variability and in ecological dynamics. For example, spatial patterns can arise as the result of trophic interactions and dispersal, and a number of scientists have investigated this question using continuous-time growth models with simple (Fickian) diffusion (Neubert, 1995). Currently it also captures the interest of physicists and mathematicians, who seek to provide a theoretical framework for this process.

The mechanisms of dispersion may or may not requiere an external agent, such as wind, water and transportation by birds and other animals (eitherthrough consumption and digestion or by being carried and cached) (Neupane, 2015; Willson, 1993). When the vector is an animal, we talk about zoochory. Within this context, there are several possibilities, among which we distinguish external transportation or transportation by ingestion.

Since the diet of many animal species often includes or consists of leshy-fruited plants, the pattern of seed dispersal and activities of vertebrate dispersers are closely related (Neupane, 2015; Wenny, 2001). This relationship is generally of a mutualistic nature, as both derive some benefit from their participation. The food reward provided by the plants is exchanged for a service, namely the transport of seeds provided by the animals. In many cases it is possible to trace a coevolutionary natural history of the involved species.

In many temperate and tropical ecosystems, the majority of seeds dispersers of woody plants are fru-

Email addresses:
laila.kazimierski@cab.cnea.gov.ar (Laila D. Kazimierski), kuperman@cab.cnea.gov.ar (Marcelo N. Kuperman), wio@ifca.unican.es (Horacio S. Wio), abramson@cab.cnea.gov.ar (Guillermo Abramson)

Preprint submitted to Theoretical Population Biology *Received: date / Revised version: date*

givorous animals (Herrera, 2002). For these plants, seed dispersion is a function of frugivore movement and gut passage times of seeds (Murray, 1988; Schupp, 1993). For this reason, one expects that the spatial pattern of plant distribution feeds back into the characteristics of seed dispersal via its effects on frugivore movements.

In this work we focus on a particular case of zoochory to analyze the effects induced by the characteristic delay between consuption and deposition of seeds. We approach the problem as a reaction-diffusion system, in which consumption of seeds and their delayed deposition after being transported by animals is responsible for the dispersion. Recently, some delay models have been studied extensively (Hadeler, 2007). For example, Morita (1984) and de Oliveira (1994) performed thorough studies of periodic solutions of diffusion equations with delay, while Faria (1999); Freitas (1997) investigate bifurcations in such problems.

Our model involves several aspects of the cycle of seed dispersal. The biological system that inspires us and we seek to understand is the mutualistic relation between the marsupial *Dromiciops gliroides* and the mistletoe *Tristerix corymbosus* (Amico, 2000; Garca, 2009; Morales, 2012). The marsupial is its only seed disperser so the arrangement of future generation of plants will depend on the sites that are visited by the animals. These, in turn, are the fructifying plants that provide the animal one of their main resources. So, the cycle that we are interested in modeling is that of an animal that eats fruits of a plant, disperses over space with certain rules, and deposits the seeds at a different place after a given time. The next generation of plants will be located at new sites. We are interested in the description of the spatio-temporal characteristics of such a dynamics.

2. Model definition and dynamics

For populations with overlapping generations, population size can usually be regarded as a continuous function of time, and an adequate mathematical tool is a set of differential equations (Murray, 1989). Let us consider a three-compoments model: seeds in plants f, being dispersed by animals u, and deposited in an appropriate substrate s. Seeds in populations f and s are immobile, while those in u are carried by their transporters.

Several biological processes are mediated by interactions between these populations, as schematized in Fig. 1: seeds in plants are ingested and become dispersive; these are eventually deposited or defecated and become immobile again. Finally, with a probability of germination, the seeds grow into plants and start producing new seeds at the level f. A reasonable one-dimensional mathematical description of such a system is the following:



Figure 1: Schematic representation of the delayed dynamics of dispersion. The lines represent three seed populations extended in space: f are immobile seeds in fructifying plants; u are seeds beeing dispersed diffusively; s are seeds deposited in the substrate after a delay τ, which eventually produce new fructifying plants.

$$\frac{\partial f(x,t)}{\partial t} = F(f(x,t), s(x,t)) - I(f(x,t), u(x,t)), \tag{1}$$

$$\frac{\partial u(x,t)}{\partial t} = I(f(x,t), u(x,t)) + D\nabla^2 u(x,t) - \alpha \int G(x,t|x',t-\tau)\, I(f(x',t-\tau), u(x',t-\tau))\, dx', \tag{2}$$

$$\frac{\partial s(x,t)}{\partial t} = -g\, s(x,t) + \alpha \int G(x,t|x',t-\tau)\, I(f(x',t-\tau), u(x',t-\tau))\, dx', \tag{3}$$

that can also be formulated in higher dimensions without difficulty.

Each one of the terms in these equations represents some of the mechanisms that play a role in the population dynamics. $F(f,s)$ is a growth (or rippening) function of the fruits. The second term in Eq. (1) represents the consumption of fruit, which depends on the presence of animals through u. The same ingestion term $I(f,u)$ acts as a source of the population of moving seeds $u(x,t)$ in Eq. (2). Also, in this equation, we use a standard diffusive transport mechanism for these mobile seeds, with a coefficient D and kernel:

$$G(x,x',\tau) = \exp\left(-\frac{(x-x')^2}{4D\tau}\right)(4\pi D\tau)^{-1/2}, \tag{4}$$

whose role in the dispersion we describe below.

The most involved term of the dynamics is the one representing the loss of mobile seeds as the animals deposit them. If we suppose that seeds are deposited after a time τ (e.g. after the transit through the digestive tract of the animals), then we can propose the non-local term that appears in third place in Eq. (2): seeds are consumed at x' at a time $t-\tau$, and are subsequently transported by the dispersion kernel G up to x where they are deposited at time t. A rate α takes into account that the process may be imperfect, with not all the seeds being appropriately transfered into the germinating population $s(x,t)$. Finally—Eq. (3)—seeds deposited in the inmobile substrate $s(x,t)$ can germinate and eventually contribute to the fruit population.

Observe that, in the absence of coupling, Eq. (2) is a reaction-diffusion equation akin to Fisher's equation (Murray, 1989). That is, with appropriate initial and boundary conditions the population of dispersing seeds should display a travelling wave shape, with a well defined velocity given by the diffusion coefficient and the linear growth rate of $I(u)$. We want to study the existence of similar waves in the complete coupled system and, eventually, propagating waves of $f(x,t)$, that is, of the fructifying plants. In order to proceed with the analysis, let us consider specific forms of the different functions involved:

$$F(f,s) = r_f s(x,t)\,(k_f - f(x,t))\,, \tag{5}$$

$$I(f,u) = r_u u(x,t)\,(k_u - u(x,t))\,\frac{f(x,t)}{b+f(x,t)}, \tag{6}$$

where r_i are growth rates and k_i are carrying capacities. Observe that Eq. (5) provides a net reproduction of f which is proportional to their source, s. Equation (6), in turn, is a product of a logistic growth in u (corresponding to the animals that disperse the seeds) and a function of f that saturates as $f \to \infty$, indicating satiation (characterized by an additional parameter, b).

The analysis of these differential equations require approximate analytical approaches as well as numerical solutions, which we discuss below.

2.1. Asymptotic analysis

Let us first consider a simplified scenario, in which the dynamics of the immobile population of plants f occurs more slowly than that of dispersing seeds u. This allows us to consider f as a parameter, so that the ingestion term I is just a function of $u(x,t)$. Let us look for travelling wave solutions in the usual way. Consider the change of variables to a system moving at velocity c: $z = x - ct$, $x - x' = z - z' + c\tau$. The equation for dispersing seeds $u(x,t)$ becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} c\,u'(z) &= r\,u(z)(k_u - u(z)) + Du''(z) \\ &\quad - \alpha \int \frac{e^{-\frac{(z-z'+c\tau)^2}{4D\tau}}}{\sqrt{4\pi D\tau}} u(z')(k_u - u(z'))dz' \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

where r_u and the f dependence have been absorbed in the parameter r and α without loss of generality, and u', u'' represent first and second derivatives with respect to the single variable z. This equation cannot be solved analytically, but we can perform a perturbative analysis in order to obtain an approximate solution. We can deal with the integral of Eq. (7) making use of Laplace's formula (see Estrada, 1994), which is an asymptotic approximation of integrals of the form:

$$I(\lambda) = \int_a^b e^{-\lambda h(x)}\phi(x)\,dx, \tag{8}$$

when $\lambda = (4D\tau)^{-1} \to \infty$ and $h(x)$ is real. Following Estrada (1994), we can approximate our integral as:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4D\pi\tau}} I(\lambda) &\approx u(z+c\tau)\big(1-u(z+c\tau)\big) \\ &\quad + D\tau\Big[\big(1-2u(z+c\tau)\big)u''(z+c\tau) \\ &\quad - 2u'(z+c\tau)^2\Big] + \cdots \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Using the leading terms of the integral expansion in u, together with the travelling wave ansatz, we finally reduce the problem to the following ordinary differential equation:

$$\begin{aligned} -cu'(z) &= Du''(z) + ru(z)\big(1-u(z)\big) \\ &\quad - \alpha\Big(u(z+c\tau)\big(1-u(z+c\tau)\big) \\ &\quad + D\tau\Big[\big(1-2u(z+c\tau)\big)u''(z+c\tau) \\ &\quad - 2u'(z+c\tau)^2\Big]\Big). \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

We propose an exponential solution $u = Ae^{\lambda z}$ for this equation, which gives rise to a trascendental characteristic equation. Considering up to the third term in u and up to the second order in a small-τ



Figure 2: Relation between the wave velocity c and the delay τ, obtained by the asymptotic (red) and iteration (blue) methods explained in the text. In this case $\alpha = 0.5$, $D = 1$, $r = 1$. We also show the special cases of $\tau \to 0$ and $\tau \to \infty$ (Fisher), which can be calculated exactly.

expansion, we arrive at the following characteristic equation valid for small delays:

$$-\alpha D c \tau^2 \lambda^3 + (D - \alpha D \tau - \alpha \frac{c^2}{2} \tau^2)\lambda^2 - \alpha c \tau \lambda + c + r - \alpha = 0. \quad (11)$$

The relation between the wave velocity c and the delay τ is provided by the discriminant of the solution of Eq. (11), which we show graphically in Fig. 2 (red curve) (for $\alpha = 0.5$, $D = 1$ and $r = 1$). We can see that there is a growing dependence, giving faster waves for larger values of the delay. Observe also in Fig. 2 the two limits that can be calculated exactly. The first one corresponds to $\tau \to 0$, when the diffusion propagator tends to a Dirac delta $\delta(\tau)$, in which case the integral can be evaluated exactly. The second is $\tau \to \infty$, leaving just Fisher's equation in Eq. (2), and then $c = 2\sqrt{rD}$. We can see that our approximate solution tends to these two limits. We can also see that the delayed model sustains *slower* waves than Fisher's one. We analyze below another approximate analytic solution, which provides a similar result.

2.2. Iteration method

Another analytical approach to solve Eq. (2) consists in using the iteration technique presented in (Wu, 2001) and (Zou, 2001), where the existence of wavefront solutions of similar equations is proven, provided that c and τ satisfy certain relation (see Theorem 3.2 of Zou (2001)).

In order to find that relation, we propose the change of variables $u(x,t) = u(z) = u(x + ct)$. Using this method in Eq. (2) (again using the same specific form of I as in Eq. (6), with f as a parameter), we arrive at the following integro-differential equation:

$$c\,u'(z) = r\,u(z) + D\,u''(z) - \alpha \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{e^{-\frac{(z-z'-c\tau)^2}{4D\tau}}}{\sqrt{4\pi D\tau}} r u(z')\,dz', \quad (12)$$

where we have linearized the reaction terms.

With the ansatz $u(z) = Ae^{\lambda z}$ we obtain the following characteristic equation:

$$\Delta(\lambda) \equiv D\lambda^2 - c\lambda + r - \alpha r e^{D\lambda^2\tau - \lambda c\tau} = 0. \quad (13)$$

To have a single root of this trascendental equation leads to the requirement that:

$$\tau = \frac{4D}{c^2} \ln\left(\frac{4D\alpha r}{4Dr - c^2}\right). \quad (14)$$

Equation (14) gives a relation between the wave velocity c and the delay τ that we can explore. Figure 2 shows this result (blue curve) for the same values of the other parameters as we used for the asymptotic result (red curve). We can see that the two curves coincide for small τ, where the asymptotic expansion described above is valid. For larger values of τ the curves separate from each other, as the red curve from the expansion loses its validity. The iterative result also tends to the right limits as $\tau \to 0$ and ∞, albeit more slowly. In the following we analyze a numerical solution of the same reduced system.

2.3. Numerical solution

The restricted dynamics of u—Eq. (2)—can also be solved numerically. Specifically, we solved

$$\frac{\partial u(x,t)}{\partial t} = r_u u(x,t)\big(1 - u(x,t)\big) + D\nabla^2 u(x,t) - \alpha \int G(x,t|x',t-\tau)\, r_u u(x',t-\tau) \big(1 - u(x',t-\tau)\big)\,dx', \quad (15)$$

where we have absorbed all the dependence on f (which is here a parameter) in the growth rate r_u, and set $k_u = 1$. We analyzed the formation and



Figure 3: Relation between the wave velocity c and the delay τ, obtained by the asymptotic (red), iteration (blue) and numerical (black) methods explained in the text. In this case $\alpha = 0.5$, $D = 10$, $r = 1$.

propagation of a travelling wave front from an initial stationary step function:

$$u(x,0) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x < 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } x > 0. \end{cases} \tag{16}$$

We also set free conditions at the left and right borders of the finite space, and we measure the velocity of the solution after a transient time when the front accelerates, and before it reaches the borders.

Figure 3 shows a typical numerical result of the relation between the wave velocity and the delay. The plot also shows the corresponding curves obtained by the analytical methods. We can see that both of them give good approximations of the wave velocity. In particular, the iteration result provides a better approximation for the whole range of delays, interpolating well between the limit cases. Both methods provide sligthly overestimated velocities.

3. Coupled dynamics

Let us consider the fact that the characteristic time of seed dispersion on the one hand, and the time of establishing new fructifying plants on the other, are typically very different. This time scale diversity allows a simplified analysis of the coupled model of Eqs. (1-3), more complete than the single-component simplification made in the previous sections. Essentially, this can be accomplished by eliminating the population s, i.e. setting the term $r_f\, s(x,t)$ (which is the reproduction factor in the definition of the function F in Eq. (5)) equal to $r_f\, u(x,t)$. Effectively, this represents an instantaneous germination of the deposited seeds into fructifying plants, and the system reduces to:

$$\frac{\partial f(x,t)}{\partial t} = r_f u(k_f - f) - r_u u(k_u - u)\frac{f}{b+f}, \tag{17}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial u(x,t)}{\partial t} &= r_u u(k_u - u)\frac{f}{b+f} + D\nabla^2 u(x,t) \\ &\quad - \alpha \int G(x,t|x',t-\tau)\, r_u u(x',t-\tau) \\ &\quad \big(k_u - u(x',t-\tau)\big)\frac{f(x',t-\tau)}{b+f(x',t-\tau)}\, dx'. \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

Based on the existence and the properties of travelling waves of isolated dispersing seeds found in the previous sections, we have searched for the equivalent dynamics in this coupled model. We have analyzed the system only numerically in this case, with two different initial conditions, which we call homogeneous and heterogeneous depending on the initial values of $f(x)$:

Homogeneous initial condition:

$$\begin{cases} f(x,0) = k_f, \\ u(x,0) = k_u \;\; \text{if } x \le 0, \quad 0 \;\; \text{if } x > 0. \end{cases} \tag{19}$$

Heterogeneous initial condition:

$$\begin{cases} f(x,0) = k_f \;\; \text{if } x \le 0, \quad 0 \;\; \text{if } x > 0, \\ u(x,0) = k_u \;\; \text{if } x \le 0, \quad 0 \;\; \text{if } x > 0. \end{cases} \tag{20}$$

The homogeneous initial condition evolves, after a transient, to a travelling front of the u variable, similar to the single component simplified model analyzed before. It is accompanied by a shallow depletion of f that moves at the same speed. In this regard, it is similar to a case where f is just a parameter, and we used it as a benchmark to compare the role of the satiation parameter b that appears in Eqs. (17-18). The resulting velocity as a function of τ is shown in Fig. 4, for different values of b. When $b \to 0$ the satiation term dissapears and the dependence of ingestion on f becomes effectively a parameter, just like in the one-component model. For larger values of b the curves show the same shape, with the velocity growing with τ but with smaller velocities for each value of the delay.



Figure 4: Relation between the wave velocity c and the delay τ, obtained numerically for the two-components model. $\alpha = 0.5$, $D = 10$, $r = 1$, b as shown. The corresponding result for the one-component model is shown for comparison.



Figure 5: Relation between the wave velocity c and the delay τ, obtained numerically for the two-components model and heterogeneous initial conditions. $\alpha = 0.5$, $D = 10$, $r = 1$, b as shown.

The heterogeneous initial condition is the most interesting case in the analysis of the expansion of a bound patch of vegetation, facilitated by the dispersing agents. For example, one can expect a double invasion wave of dispersing seeds and plants, corresponding to the advance of the patch edge. In this case, since u is propagating into empty space, the velocity of the invasion should be even slower than in the single component and in the two-components with homogeneous initial conditions. Our results show that indeed such is the case. The two waves propagate asymptotically with the same velocity and a small lag of f behind u. But in addition we find that the dependence of the velocity on the delay is reversed with respect to the one corresponding to those cases. Figure 5 shows the results corresponding to the same parameters as those used in Fig. 4.

The reversal of the dependence of the velocity on the delay for different initial conditions can be understood in the following way. When the initial vegetation extends homogeneously a longer deposition time allows the front of dispersing animals to reach farther and expand faster, since their resource f is available wherever they go. On the contrary, when the initial vegetation is bounded, the animals cannot reach as far because of the limited resource. In this case, if they diffuse farther, the seeds are lost. The border of the region occupied by u needs to propagate slower in order to provide for the establishment of their resource.

Finally, we have analyzed the lag between the two fronts and its dependence on the parameters. We found that it is almost insensible to the value of τ, but depends strongly on the effective reproduction rate r_f. Larger values of this parameter can reduce the separation between the fronts to almost zero.

4. Discussion and conclusions

We have analyzed a model of plant propagation by diffusive dispersion of their seeds, mediated by animal ingestion and transport. The model involves three populations: immobile seeds in fruits, mobile seeds in animals, and seeds (again immobile) deposited in the substrate. In particular, we studied the propagation of travelling waves in the form of invasion fronts, arising from initial conditions borders. The mathematical problem is similar to that of reaction-diffusion waves developed since the 1930s, like the ones studied by Fisher in the context of the propagation of a genetic trait in a population (Volpert, 2009). Like those, the velocity of propagation depends on the diffusion constant and on the linear growth parameter of the field being dispersed.

The fact that there is a delay between the ingestion of the seeds and their deposition at a different place, however, makes that the velocity of the fronts can be slower than Fisher's waves. We have analyzed this phenomenon in one dimension with several tools, approximating the model in different

ways. First we studied a one-component simplification, in which just the diffusing seeds remain as dynamical variables. The corresponding delayed-integro-differential equation was solved for travelling waves using an asymptotic expansion and an iteration procedure. Both methods showed the velocity of the slow waves as a function of the delay parameter. Numerical solution of the equation confirmed the validity of the analytic procedures. Additionaly, the shape of the front is different from the corresponding to a case without delay. Actually, the leading front of the wave has the same properties as the Fisher's one–allowing for the calculation of the asymptotic velocity. The main difference is in the trailing part of the front, where the invasion tends to the carrying capacity, whose shape depends on the delay.

In connection with these findings, we also analyzed the model consisting of two dynamical variables: the fructifying plants and the animals. We found that the general phenomenon of slow invasion fronts (slow with respect to Fisher's) is maintained, but there is a strong dependence on the initial conditions. The propagating wave becomes limited not by diffusion, but by the limited resources at the leading edge. As a result of this, the dependence of the velocity of the front on the relevant parameter measuring the delayed transport of the seeds, τ, becomes inversed (decaying) with respect to the single component model. In this context, it is worth mentioning that the behavior of animals across a patch edge, based on habitat preference, may be relevant in the dynamics of the coupled populations, as studied via reaction-diffusion models by Maciel (2013, 2014). This is a matter to be considered in forthcoming work.

The present analysis does not exhaust the characterization of the solutions of the system. We expect to extend it to the study of the three-component waves elsewhere. In the present work, we have characterized the movement of the disperser as a diffusive phenomenon. Other transport mechanisms could be considered once a persistent interaction between the plant and the animal has been established and the topology of the lanscape has been shaped. In those cases, we could add chemotactic terms or Cahn-Hilliard like equations (Liu, 2016) to take into consideration the feedback interactions between both species.

Acknowledgements

This work received support from the Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas (PIP 112-201101-00310), Universidad Nacional de Cuyo (06/C304). We also thank Javier Fernndez and Juan Manuel Morales for fruitful discussions.

References

References

Amico G., Aizen M.A., *Ecology: Mistletoe seed dispersal by a marsupial*, Nature **408**, 929-930 (2000).

Maciel G.A., Lutscher F., *How Individual Movement Response to Habitat Edges Affects Population Persistence and Spatial Spread*, The American Naturalist **182**, 42-52 (2013).

Maciel G.A., Kraenkel R.A., *How population loss through habitat boundaries determines the dynamics of a predatorprey system*, Ecological Complexity **20**, 33-42 (2014).

de Oliveira L.A.F., *Inestability of homogeneous periodic solutions of parabolic-delay equations*, J. Differential Equations **109**, 42-76, (1994).

Estrada R., Kanwal RP., *Asymptotic analysis*, (Birkhauser, 1994).

Faria T., *Bifurcation aspects for some delayed population models with diffusion*, Fields Institute Communications **21**, 143-158, (1999).

Freitas P., *Some results on the stability and bifurcation of stationary solutions of delay-diffusion equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **206**, 59-82, (1997).

Garca D., Rodrguez-Cabal M.A., Amico G.C., *Seed dispersal by a frugivorous marsupial shapes the spatial scale of a mistletoe population*, Journal of Ecology **97**, 217-229 (2009).

Hadeler K.P, Ruan S., *Interaction of diffusion and delay*, Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems-Series B **8**, 95-105, (2007).

Herrera C.M., Pellmyr O., *Seed dispersal by vertebrates*, Plantanimal interactions: an evolutionary approach, p. 185-208. (Blackwell, Oxford, 2002)

Liu Q.X., Rietkerk M., Herman P.M.J., Piersma T., Fryxell J.M., van de Koppel J., *Phase separation driven by density-dependent movement: A novel mechanism for ecological patterns*, Physics of Life Reviews **19**, 107-121 (2016).

Morales J.M., Carlo T.S., *The effects of plant distribution and frugivore density on the scale and shape of dispersal kernels*, Ecology **87**, 1489-1496, (2006).

Morales J.M., Rivarola M.D., Amico G., Carlo T.A., *Neighborhood effects on seed dispersal by frugivores: testing theory with a mistletoemarsupial system in Patagonia*, Ecology **93**, 741-748 (2012).

Morita Y., *Desestabilization of periodic solutions arising in delay-diffusion systems in several space dimensions*, Japan J. Appl. Math. **1**, 39-65, (1984).

Murray K.G., *Avian seed dispersal of three neotropical gap-dependent plants*, Ecological Monographs **58** 271298 (1988).

Murray J.D., Mathematical Biology (Springer, Berlin, 1989).

Neubert M.G., Kot M., Lewis M.A., *Dispersal and pattern formation in a discrete-time predator-prey model*, Theoretical Population Biology **48**, 7-43, (1995).

Neupane R.C., Powell J.A., *Mathematical model of seed dispersal by frugivorous birds and migration potential of pinyon and juniper in Utah*, Applied Mathematics **6**, 1506-1523, (2015).

Schupp E.W., *Quantity, quality and the effectiveness of seed dispersal by animals*, Vegetatio **107/108**, 1529 (1993).

Spalinger D.E., Hobbs, N.T., *Mechanisms of foraging in mammalian herbivores: new models of functional response*, Am. Nat. **140**, 325-348, (1992).

Stephens D.W., Krebs J.R., *Foraging theory*, (Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1986).

Viswanathan G.M., Afanasyev V., Buldyrev S.V., Murphy EJ., Prince PA., Stanley HE., *Levy flight search patterns of wandering albatrosses*, Nature **381**, 413-415, (1996).

Viswanathan GM., da Luz MGE., Raposo EP., Stanley, *The Physics of Foraging: An Introduction to Random Searches and Biological Encounters* (Cambridge University Press, 2011).

Volpert V., Petrovskii S., *Reaction-diffusion waves in biology*, Physics of Life Reviews **6**, 267-310, (2009).

Wenny D.G., *Advantage of seed dispersal: a re-evaluation of directed dispersal*, Evolutionary Ecology Research **3**, 51-74, (2001).

Willson M.F., *Mammals as seed-dispersal mutualists in North America*, Oikos **67**, 159-176, (1993).

Wu J., Zou X., *Traveling wave fronts of reaction-diffusion systems with delay*, J. Dynamics Differential Equations **13**, 651-687, (2001).

Zou X., *Delay induced traveling wave fronts in reaction diffusion equations of KPP-Fisher type*, Journal of Computarional and Applied Mathematics **146**, 309-321, (2002).